# **ORDER SHEET**

## WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal, Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das, Hon'ble Chairman & **Administrative Member.** 

# Case No. OA 928 of 2019.

SRI	MALOY CHAND DUTTA - VERSUS- THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & OTH	ERS.
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
3 20.1.2020.	For the Applicant : Mr. J. Dey, Advocate.	
	For the State Respondent : Mr. A.K. Das Sinha, Advocate.	
	For the Principal Accountant General ( A & E) W.B. : Mr. B. Mitra, Departmental representative.	
	Let reply filed by Mr. A.K. Das Sinha, learned	
	advocate for the State respondents, be kept on record.	
	Mr. J. Dey, learned advocate for the applicant submits	
	that his client does not want to file rejoinder to the reply.	
	In this application the applicant has prayed	
	for several reliefs, the relevant portion of which is as	
	under :-	
	"a) An order to issue commending the	
	respondent particularly the respondent no-1 or 3 to grant	
	the pensinary benefit to the applicant by making positive	
	corrections in his service book, in terms of Rule 36 of the	
	D.C.R.B. Rule 1971 and extend the financial benefit of	
	minimum pension to the applicant.	
	b) Passed any effective order regarding	

## **ORDER SHEET**

Form No.

SRI MALOY CHAND DUTTA.

Vs.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

### Case No. **OA 928 of 2019.**

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	pension and correction of service as pert Rule 36 of	
	D.C.R.B. Rule 1971 and/or consider the representation	
	(Annexure-"D") of the applicant where the applicant is	
	the very much entitled to others similar circumstances	
	Government employees and/or take necessary steps in	
	this regard within fortnight from the date of	
	communication of the order of the Ld. Tribunal".	
	Mr. Dey submits that the applicant had joined	
	service on 19 <sup>th</sup> January, 2007 and had superannuated on	
	30 <sup>th</sup> October, 2016. As the length of service of the	
	applicant was nine years, nine months and twelve days	
	and he did not complete ten years of service to be	
	eligible for pension, he has not been granted pension. As	
	under rule 36 of the D.C.R.B. Rules, 1971, if the short fall	
	is within six months, it can be considered for grant of	
	pension, and as in the instant case, the shortfall is about	
	two months, the respondents may be directed to	
	consider the representation dated 2 <sup>nd</sup> August, 2017,	
	being annexure D to the application, pending before the	
	authority for pensionary benefit.	
	Mr. A.K. Das Sinha, learned advocate for the	
	State respondents submits that though the applicant did	
	not complete the qualifying service to be eligible for	

## **ORDER SHEET**

Form No.

SRI MALOY CHAND DUTTA.

Vs.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

#### Case No. **OA 928 of 2019**.

Case No. OA 928		Office action with data
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	pension, however, if directed, the respondents will	
	consider the representation in accordance with law.	
	Mr. B. Mitra, the departmental	
	representative, adopts the submission of Mr. Das Sinha.	
	Having heard the parties and considering the	
	facts and circumstances of the case, the application is	
	disposed of by directing the Principal Secretary,	
	Department of Home (Police), – the respondent no.1, to	
	dispose of the representation dated 2 <sup>nd</sup> August, 2017 by	
	passing a reasoned order to be communicated to the	
	parties within twelve weeks from the date of	
	presentation of a copy of the certified copy of this order	
	after giving an opportunity of hearing and after verifying	
	the records.	
	(Subesh Kumar Das) (Soumitra Pal)  Member (A). Chairman.	
	Wiember (A). Chairman.	
Skg.		